**To:** Daguillard, Robert[Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov]

**Cc:** Abrams, Dan[Abrams.Dan@epa.gov]; StClair, Christie[StClair.Christie@epa.gov]; Hull, George[Hull.George@epa.gov]; EOC Public Information[EOC\_Public\_Information@epa.gov];

Press[Press@epa.gov]; MacIntyre, Mark[Macintyre.Mark@epa.gov]; Grantham,

Nancy[Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]

From: Mylott, Richard

Sent: Mon 8/17/2015 2:47:36 PM

# **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 17, 2015, at 8:05 AM, "Daguillard, Robert" < <u>Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

The reporter is still prompting.

From: Abrams, Dan

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 10:05 AM

To: StClair, Christie

Cc: Daguillard, Robert; Mylott, Richard; Hull, George; EOC Public Information; Press;

MacIntyre, Mark; Grantham, Nancy

#### **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

#### **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 17, 2015, at 8:59 AM, StClair, Christie <<u>StClair.Christie@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

# **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

**Environmental Protection Agency** 

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

On Aug 17, 2015, at 5:53 AM, "Daguillard, Robert" < <u>Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

"On the general state of tailings dams and mines. How many such storage facilities are there across the country? How does the EPA monitor the maintenance and condition of mines and dams that are holding contaminated water? Are abandoned mines, like Gold King, monitored?

It has been reported that the EPA has been trying to designate the area as a superfund area for some time. When was the idea first floated? Why was it unsuccessful? Does the EPA believe the spill would have occurred regardless of the lack of superfund designation? Would that have changed the way the clean up was undertaken (ie would there have been more money available and therefore less likelihood of a failure?)?"

#### Possible response:

# **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

From: Daguillard, Robert

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 7:39 PM

**To:** StClair, Christie **Cc:** Hull, George

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

# **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 14, 2015, at 5:07 PM, StClair, Christie < StClair.Christie@epa.gov > wrote:

Okay, thanks George. Rich is now working this.

Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

**Environmental Protection Agency** 

Washington, DC

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

From: Hull, George

**Sent:** Friday, August 14, 2015 5:01 PM

To: StClair, Christie; Daguillard, Robert; Press; EOC Public Information

#### **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

From: Christie StClair [mailto:StClair.Christie@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 4:57 PM

To: Daguillard Robert: Press

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Rich, can you tackle these?

Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

**Environmental Protection Agency** 

Washington, DC

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

From: Daguillard, Robert

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 10:33 AM

To: StClair, Christie; Press

#### **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Well, probably. These questions seem to be more in your court. LMK:

"It has been reported that the EPA has been trying to designate the area as a superfund area for some time. When was the idea first floated? Why was it unsuccessful? Does the EPA believe the spill would have occurred regardless of the lack of superfund designation? Would that have changed the way the clean up was undertaken (ie would there have been more money available and therefore less likelihood of a failure?)?"

From: StClair, Christie

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 10:25 AM

To: Daguillard, Robert; Press

#### **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

So do I need to do something here?

Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

**Environmental Protection Agency** 

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

On Aug 14, 2015, at 7:27 AM, "Robert Daguillard" < Daguillard. Robert@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

**Deliberative Process/Ex. 5** 

#### **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Thanks all, R.

From: McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa

Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2015 5:57 PM

**To:** Daguillard, Robert **Cc:** Lee, Monica

#### **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Lisa McClain-Vanderpool

Public Affairs Specialist/Media Officer

Office of Communications and Public Involvement

US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8

1595 Wynkoop Street

Denver, Colorado 80202

Cell 303.501.4027

From: Daguillard, Robert

Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2015 5:48 AM

To: Cohen, Nancy; Smith, Paula; Faulk, Libby; McClain-Vanderpool,

Lisa; Mylott, Richard

Subject: RE: OSWER, R8 Action; Guardian; DDL COB; Aminas River

Spill

Thanks again, Nancy.

From: Cohen, Nancy

Sent: Monday, August 10, 2015 6:24 PM

To: Daguillard, Robert; Smith, Paula; Faulk, Libby; McClain-

Vanderpool, Lisa; Mylott, Richard

Subject: RE: OSWER, R8 Action; Guardian; DDL COB; Aminas River

Spill

Robert: here's our input . . .

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Nancy Cohen

U.S. EPA OSWER

202.566.0171

From: Daguillard, Robert

Sent: Monday, August 10, 2015 11:31 AM

To: Smith, Paula; Faulk, Libby; McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa; Mylott,

Richard; Cohen, Nancy

Subject: OSWER, R8 Action; Guardian; DDL COB; Aminas River Spill

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

From: Karl Mathiesen

**Sent:** Monday, August 10, 2015 7:45 AM

To: Daguillard, Robert

Subject: Guardian media enquiry

Hi Robert,

I'm a journalist with the Guardian writing a piece on the release from the Gold King Mine in Colorado.

I have a few questions on the background of the situation. Please do your best to answer them all.

On the general state of tailings dams and mines. How many such storage facilities are there across the country? How does the EPA monitor the maintenance and condition of mines and dams that are holding contaminated water? Are abandoned mines, like Gold King, monitored?

It has been reported that the EPA has been trying to designate the area as a superfund area for some time. When was the idea first floated? Why was it unsuccessful? Does the EPA believe the spill would have occurred regardless of the lack of superfund designation? Would that have changed the way the clean up was undertaken (ie would there have been more money available and therefore less likelihood of a failure?)?

1

London